REPORT TO:	Planning Committee				
DATE:	18 August 2010				
SUBJECT:	Revenue Expenditure and Performance – 2009/10 Portfolio Final Accounts				
WARDS AFFECTED:	None directly				
REPORT OF:	Planning and Economic Development Director – Andy Wallis Interim Head of Corporate Finance & ICT Strategy – John Farrell				
CONTACT OFFICER: EXEMPT/CONFIDENTIAL:	David Gant – 0151 934 2378 Kevin McBlain – 0151 934 4049 No				

#### PURPOSE/SUMMARY:

To notify the Committee of the final 2009/10 outturn position for the Planning Portfolio.

# REASON WHY DECISION REQUIRED:

To allow the Committee to consider whether there are any issues arising from the 2009/10 accounts for the portfolio which should be referred to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee (Regeneration and Environmental Services).

# **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The Committee is asked to:

- a) Note the Portfolio's revenue expenditure outturn for 2009/10;
- b) Note the Portfolio's actual performance indicators and data for 2009/10; and
- c) Consider whether any issues should be referred to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee (Regeneration and Environmental Services) for consideration.

**KEY DECISION:** 

No

FORWARD PLAN: Not appropriate

**IMPLEMENTATION DATE:** 

# **ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS:**

None

#### **IMPLICATIONS:**

# **Budget/Policy Framework:**

# Financial:

This report identifies a revenue budget over spend of  $\pounds 0.092m$  for 2009/10 for this Portfolio which has been met from the general balances of the Council.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	2012/13 £	2013/14 £
Gross Increase in Capital				
Expenditure				
Funded by:				
Sefton Capital Resources				
Specific Capital Resources				
REVENUE IMPLICATIONS				
Gross Increase in Revenue				
Expenditure				
Funded by:				
Sefton funded Resources				
Funded from External				
Resources				
Does the External Funding	have an	When?	L	
expiry date? Y/N				
How will the service be fund				
expiry?				

Legal:	Not appropriate

Risk Assessment: Not appropriate

Asset Management: Not appropriate

#### CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN/VIEWS

**FD 479 –** The Interim Head of Corporate Finance and ICT Strategy has been consulted and his comments have been included in this report The Planning and Regeneration Department was involved with the Finance Department in the closure of the 2009/10 accounts.

# CORPORATE OBJECTIVE MONITORING:

Corporate Objective		Positive Impact	<u>Neutral</u> Impact	<u>Negative</u> Impact
1	Creating a Learning Community		$\checkmark$	
2	Creating Safe Communities		$\checkmark$	
3	Jobs and Prosperity		$\checkmark$	
4	Improving Health and Well-Being		$\checkmark$	
5	Environmental Sustainability		$\checkmark$	
6	Creating Inclusive Communities		$\checkmark$	
7	Improving the Quality of Council Services and Strengthening local Democracy	$\checkmark$		
8	Children and Young People			

# LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS RELIED UPON IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS REPORT

None

#### **Revenue Expenditure and Performance – 2009/10 Portfolio Final Accounts**

#### 1 Introduction

- 1.1 The Audit and Governance Committee considered the Council's full Statement of Accounts on 30 June 2010. This report outlines the 2009/10 revenue and capital outturn for the Planning Portfolio and identifies the major variations. The report also contains details of the Portfolio's operational performance in 2009/10 against its relevant indicators, together with comments by the Service Director who is responsible to this Portfolio for their revenue, capital and performance issues, highlighting any that have ongoing implications for later years.
- 1.2 A separate report will be presented to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to allow an independent review of all Portfolios' outturn positions.

#### 2 Revenue Expenditure 2009/10

- 2.1 The closure of the 2009/10 Revenue Accounts has now been completed, however the detail is still subject to examination by Price Waterhouse Coopers, the Council's auditors. The provisional outturn for the Council indicates that General Fund Balances will increase to £3.661m owing to an overall net under spending of £0.021m. The final outturn position for this portfolio, when compared to the 2009/10 Revenue Budget plus any additional resources approved by Cabinet during the year, indicates a net over spend of £0.092m.
- 2.2 Annex A summarises the divisions of service provided by this Portfolio and compares the original estimates with provisional outturn figures. The main variations within the net over spend are analysed below:

	£m	£m
Main variations on the Revised Budget:		
a) Direct Pay costs	- 0.324	
b) Planning Application Fees	0.180	
c) Building Control Fees	0.203	
d) Planning Delivery Grant shortfall against	0.145	
budget		
e) Local Plans	- 0.021	
f) Consultancy costs	- 0.077	
g) Other minor variations	- 0.014	
_		0.092
Net Portfolio Overspend		0.092

This overspend of £0.092m represents 3.48% of the Portfolio's 2009/10 Revenue Budget.

Given a very difficult year, with reducing activity in both Planning and Building Control applications as a result of the economic downturn, and a reduced level of Housing and Planning Delivery Grant support from the Government, the Department has done exceptionally well to contain overspending to the level recorded at the financial year end (£0.092m). This represents a very significant reduction in the overspend forecast at the start of the financial year and has been achieved through a reduction in staff resources and tight control of all other controllable expenditure.

Members may recall some early actions taken from April 2009, when a report was brought to Cabinet, seeking to reduce the workforce as a result of the downturn in the economy. This action together with some targeted budget savings helped to significantly reduce the early forecast level of overspend which was in excess of £200k.

#### 3 Performance in 2008/9

- 3.1 Planning performance is shown at Annex B. This consists of the new National Performance Indicators relating to this Department for 2009/10 compared with a regional and nation performance average where available.
- 3.2 These figures maintain the excellent performance achieved by the Department in recent years and in part reflect the value of the investments made in staff and other resources from Planning Delivery Grant.

#### 4 On-going issues for later financial years

- 4.1 The Planning and Economic Regeneration Director has identified the following ongoing issues as a result of this Portfolio's outturn position for 2009/10
  - 1. The worsening national (and international) economic situation has seen development activity reduce at an alarming rate and this trend is expected to continue for some time.
  - 2. Whilst the rate of change so far has not been as pronounced there is nevertheless a similar trend in the numbers of applications for Building Regulation approval. Given economic forecasts it must be assumed that volumes will continue to decrease. At the same time, it is expected that pressure on fee bids for work open to the private sector will become increasingly competitive.
  - 3. As part of Public Sector cuts to budgets, the Department has been informed that the Government has stopped its support to Local Authorities in respect of the Housing and Planning Delivery Grant for 2010/11. The Department therefore now has an unfunded grant income budget in the year of £253k.

#### 5 Recommendations

- 5.1 The Committee is asked to:
  - a) Note the Portfolio's revenue expenditure outturn for 2009/10;
  - b) Note the Portfolio's performance indicators and data for 2009/10; and
  - c) Consider whether, in the light of the comments made by the Planning and Economic Regeneration Director, any issues should be referred to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee (Regeneration and Environmental Services) for consideration.

# Planning Portfolio

# Final Accounts 2009/10 – Revenue Expenditure Summary

Details	Actual 2008/9	Revised Estimate 2009/10	Actual 2009/10	
PLANNING DEPARTMENT				
SUMMARY				
PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT				
Development Control Service Delivery Grant Planning Policy	1,002,757 -54,477 919,002	798,200 -14,250 983,750	705,828 -110,701 808,732	
<ul> <li>Environmental Initiatives</li> <li>Environmental/Conservation Grants</li> <li>Other Environmental Improvement and Conservation</li> <li>Sefton Coast Management Scheme</li> <li>Environmental Advisory Service</li> <li>Contaminated Land</li> <li>Waste Development Plan</li> </ul>	689,998 22,446 19,505 134,430 27,543 0	521,250 18,750 84,500 135,150 25,000 0	705,869 4,734 25,770 152,118 3,487 0	
Service Management And Support Services	0	0	40	
Building Control	250,039	302,950	434,488	
Destination Kirkby Public Enquiry	103,471	0	0	
Vacancy Management Savings Provision for Price Inflation 2007/8 Provision for Price Inflation 2008/9 Corporate Savings <b>Application of LABGI</b>	0 0 0 -91,714	-195,800 5,600 7,000 -34,150 0	0 0 0 0	
Total Net Expenditure	3,023,000	2,637,950	2,730,365	

#### ANNEX B

#### FINAL ACCOUNTS 2009/10 - PLANNING PORTFOLIO

# Data taken from the Places Analysis Tool (PAT)

Code	Name	<u>Polarity</u>		<u>2009/10</u> <u>Actual</u> <u>values</u>	PAT Regional Average	<u>10%</u> Variance	PAT National Average	<u>10%</u> Variance
NI 157a	Processing of planning applications as measured against targets for 'major' 'minor' and 'other' application types - Major Applications	Higher	%	83.33	76.09 😳	9.52%	70.75 😳	17.78%
NI 157b	Processing of planning applications as measured against targets for 'major' 'minor' and 'other' application types - Minor Applications	Higher	%	85.71	80.15 <sup>(2)</sup>	6.94%	79.16 😇	8.27%
NI 1570	Processing of planning applications as measured against targets for 'major' 'minor' and 'other' application		%	92.47	88.8 🙂	4.13%	88.25 😳	4.78%
NI	types - Other Applications	Higher						
158	% non-decent council homes	Lower	%	32.40	32.5 😕	-0.31%	35.8 🙂	-9.50%
NI 197	Improved local biodiversity – active management of local sites PSA 28	Higher	%	28.10	25 🙂	12.40%	35 😕	-19.71%

Note : The above list of National Indicators may not include all Indicators relevant to this Department, as items with 'Nil' actual values for 2009/10 have been excluded.